

REMARKS

Claims 1-18 are pending. In the Office Action, Claims 1-3, 8, 9 and 14-17 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hahn (U.S. Patent 5,847,541); Claims 5-7, 10-12 and 18 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hahn in view of Yamaguchi et al. (U.S. Patent Publication 2002/43958); and Claims 4 and 13 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hahn in view of Jinnouchi (Japanese Patent Publication 2002-281119). Additionally, the Examiner has objected to Claims 1, 2, 10, 14 and 15 because of informalities.

Regarding the objection of Claims 1 and 10, the Examiner states that the phrase “bar type” renders the claims indefinite. From the specification (page 1, lines 16-19), bar type terminals are configured so that a housing thereof is provided with a key pad, a display device and receiver and transmitter modules. It is respectfully submitted that “bar type” terminal is an industry-recognized term that encompasses a single body housing. Further, a Google search for “bar type” phone reveals hundreds of results incorporating the term. Since “bar type” terminal is a standard recognized term, it is respectfully submitted that Claims 1 and 10 are not indefinite. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the objection be withdrawn.

Regarding the objection of Claims 1, 2, 10, 14 and 15, the Examiner stated that the expressions “upper body and a lower body coupled to the lower end of the upper body, being capable of rotating about an axis of rotation extending in the longitudinal direction of the upper body”, “providing a rotation space for the lower body of the terminal”, “mounting the upper body of the terminal”, “providing a rotation space for a body rotatably coupled to an end of the station body”, and “for mounting the stationary body of the terminal”, respectively, are not parts of the claims. It is respectfully submitted that it is unclear why the Examiner is taking issue with this clear claim language. Notwithstanding, Claims 2 and 15 have been cancelled without prejudice and Claims 1, 10 and 14 have been amended for clarity, as indicated above. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the objection be withdrawn.

With regard to independent Claims 1, 10 and 14, the Examiner cites the cradle 84 of

Hahn as anticipating the slot being recessed a determined depth from a front side toward a rear side of the charger housing, and the space between the elevated cradle 88 of Hahn as anticipating the opening extending from a side of the slot and penetrating the charger housing from the front side to the rear side.

Amended Claims 1, 10 and 14 each recite a desktop charger for a bar-type terminal having a slot provided with a resting surface being recessed a determined depth from a front side toward a rear side of the charger housing and an opening extending from a side of the slot in the transverse direction wherein the upper body is mounted on the resting surface and the opening penetrates the charger housing from the front side to the rear side of the charger housing for providing a rotation space for the lower body of the terminal. As indicated above, the Examiner cites the cradle 84 of Hahn as anticipating the slot being recessed a determined depth from a front side toward a rear side of the charger housing. In contrast to amended Claims 1, 10 and 14, Hahn fails to teach receiving and holding a portable wireless terminal where an upper body is rotatably coupled to a lower body. More particularly, since a desktop charger of Hahn receives and holds in condition for being supported at both ends of a terminal, it is impossible to rotate the lower body of Hahn. However, as the cradle 84 of Hahn is merely a rectangular shaped portion for receiving a rechargeable battery 86 (see col. 5, lines 39-49 and Fig. 5), the cradle 84 of Hahn is clearly not an equivalent of the slot provided with a resting surface being recessed a determined depth from a front side toward a rear side of the charger housing and an opening extending from a side of the slot in the transverse direction, as recited in amended Claims 1, 10 and 14.

Further, it appears that the Examiner cites the space between the elevated cradle 88 of Hahn as being equivalent of the opening extending from a side of the slot and penetrating the charger housing from the front side to the rear side. However, as evidenced by the Examiner this is merely the space between the elevated cradle 88 of Hahn, and is clearly not an equivalent of the opening extending from a side of the slot and penetrating the charger housing from the front side to the rear side as recited in Claims 1, 10 and 14.


As Hahn clearly does not teach a desktop charger for a bar-type terminal having a slot provided with a resting surface being recessed a determined depth from a front side toward a rear side of the charger housing and an opening extending from a side of the slot in the transverse direction wherein the upper body is mounted on the resting surface and the opening penetrates the charger housing from the front side to the rear side of the charger housing for providing a rotation space for the lower body of the terminal, as is recited in amended Claims 1, 10 and 14, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner is incorrect in rejecting these claims based on Hahn. Further, Yamaguchi et al. does not cure the deficiencies of Hahn. Accordingly, withdrawal of the claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102 and §103 is respectfully requested.

Claims 2-9, 11-13 and 15-18 depend from Claims 1, 10 and 14, respectively, and should also be allowable based on that dependency.

In view of the preceding amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all pending claims herein, namely Claims 1,3-14 and 16-18, are in condition for allowance. Should the

Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicants' attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul J. Farrell

Reg. No. 33,494

Attorney for Applicants

DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP
333 Earle Ovington Blvd.
Uniondale, New York 11553
Tel: (516) 228-8484
Fax: (516) 228-8516

PJF/JWK/mk